

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/865,962	05/30/1997	JAKOB NIELSEN	2860-058	9129	
20277	7590 07/25/2002				
	TT WILL & EMERY		EXAMINER		
600 13TH ST WASHINGT	REET, N.W. ON, DC 20005-3096		EDELMAN, I	EDELMAN, BRADLEY E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/865,962	NIELSEN, JAKOB	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bradley Edelman	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable at the sapplicable at the sapplicable at the sapple at the sappl	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the scale form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		_	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	'		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-25, 27-31, 33-39</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7	llans
		ZARNI MAU PRIMARY EXAM	NG /

· 🔭 -

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 08/865,962



Continuation of 2. NOTE: As stated by Applicant in the July 18,-2002 response, the amended claims have been "carefully crafted to distinguish over the main reference." Therefore, the newly crafted claims will require further consideration by Examiner and will likely require a new search. In addition, regarding the alleged interview on June 19, 2002, Applicant's representative showed up for an informal, unscheduled visit to Examiner's office, and asked the Examiner a few questions about prior art references and the status of the case. Because of the unexpected nature of the visit, examiner did not have the opportunity to retrieve the file and review in any depth th prior art or the claims as they stood, and for that reason could not give Applicant's representative any conclusive answers regarding patentability, prior art interpretation, or other related information. Thus, there was no agreement reached regarding the application during Examiner's brief encounter with Applicant's representative. Because of the informal, inconclusive, and brief nature of the meeting Examiner did not consider it a formal interview requiring an interview summary.